

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,695	12/09/2003		Michael B. McAvoy	030048124US	3269
64066	7590	10/24/2006		EXAMINER	
PERKINS (P.O. BOX 12	•	P	MANCHO, RONNIE M		
PATENT - S			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATT;E, WA 98111-1247				3663	

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/731,695	MICHAEL B. MCAVOY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ronnie Mancho	3663				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju	lv 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
· —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 71-77</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• •					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-13, 71-77</u> are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		xaminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ty documents have been receive	d in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
		·				
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Upon receipt of the response dated 7-19-06 it is deemed that an election/restriction is required. Any inconvenience to the applicant is regretted.
- 2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species.

 The species are independent or distinct because patentably distinct embodiments in applicant's disclosure.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a disclosed single species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no generic claims are allowable.

Elect:

- B1) wherein receiving at least a first operating command....... includes a display screen operably coupled to the at least one local electrical device, only or
- B2) wherein receiving at least a first operating command....... includes a display screen operably coupled to the at least one electrical device and positioned remote from the at least one electrical device, only.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an

Application/Control Number: 10/731,695

Art Unit: 3663

allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Communication

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronnie Mancho whose telephone number is 571-272-6984. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs: 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,695

Art Unit: 3663

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ronnie Mancho Examiner Art Unit 3663

October 16, 2006

JACK BETTH EXAMINER